

Addenda

Culpeper County, Virginia

Professional Engineering Consulting Services For
Water And Sewer Line Extensions in Culpeper County

Addenda Number: 2
Culpeper County RFP No.: PW-09-2802
DATE: 6/15/2009

The following are answers to questions that originated either at the Pre-Proposal Meeting on 06/11/09, or sent to the Culpeper County Procurement Office for the Culpeper County, Virginia Professional Engineering Consulting Services for Water and Sewer Line Extensions RFP project:

1. With regard to the referenced RFP, Page 4, Section IV (2) stipulates that services to be provided will include, "An Environmental Assessment..." Should this requirement be interpreted to mean that a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment conforming to ASTM Standard E1527-05 must be undertaken, or rather; does this simply mean that a preliminary analysis of environmental constraints is to be performed?

Answer: A preliminary analysis of environmental constraints will be performed. Once the constraints are identified and the alignments are finalized, the Engineer will be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits to complete the work (wetlands permits/stream crossings, etc).

2. Will the Proposer need to provide the easement acquisition services?

Answer: No.

3. Who will be on the evaluation committee?

Answer: Director of Environmental Service, County Engineer, Procurement, and Planning and Zoning Departments.

4. Do surveys plats need to be signed a certified surveyor?

Answer: Yes

5. Has a detailed field survey been done?

Answer: No

6. Do you have an estimated length for the sewer line?

Answer: The estimated sewer line length is 9,800 feet for line #1, and 2,600 feet for line #2 as shown on the drawing.

7. Have any preliminary engineering reports been done?

Answer: No

8. Do you have an estimated size of the pump station?

Answer: No

9. Does a hydraulic analysis need to be performed on the water line?

Answer: No

10. Has the site for the proposed pump station already been selected, or will site evaluation and selection be a desired service?

Answer: We have not selected a site for the pump station. A site evaluation is required.

11. The Appendix A planning map showing the scope of water design in the Inlet area is different than what is shown in the master plan. Have some of these mains already been constructed, or has there been an addendum to the Master Plan?

Answer: The Appendix A planning map shows the scope of the water design. These lines will connect to existing Town and County water line infrastructure.

12. Can the County provide Record Drawings for all applicable projects where we will be making connections to existing water and sewer lines and pump stations?

Answer: Yes

13. Does the County desire Subsurface Utility Engineering or prefer to simply use Miss Utility design tickets?

Answer: Miss Utility Design tickets.

14. Has the Master Plan been submitted to VDH for approval as a PER, or will that be the responsibility of the Engineer for this project?

Answer: The Engineer will be responsible for submitting a PER to VDH, if necessary. The Master Plan was not submitted to VDH as a PER.

15. Appendix B to the proposal includes 2 figures, proposed Wastewater Facilities and Proposed Water Facilities. Is there a more comprehensive breakdown of the facilities in table form, listing linear feet and size for each new pipeline?

Answer: No.

16. During the pre-proposal conference held on June 11th, Mr. Paul Howard made a statement about the need to clearly outline design costs in the proposal. Section IV. 1. of the RFP also requests a fee Proposal. Section VIII, however, states that the price proposals will be discussed during the interview process. We are concerned with the portion of the State Procurement Act that states a fee proposal can't be requested at this stage of the procurement process and how to reconcile that with the RFP. If we do not provide fees, we may be considered non-responsive. Please advise how to respond or provide clarification on this matter.

Answer: Submission of a fee proposal with your proposal is optional and is not required since this will not be apart of the evaluation. A fee proposal may be discusses during the interview process of nonbinding estimates of total project cost, or cost of service. Proposers will not be considered non-responsive if a fee proposal is not included with your proposal.

17. The two sketches entitled "Proposed Water Facilities" and "Proposed Wastewater Facilities", both dated April, 2009 included as the Scope of Work illustration in the RFP show improvements that differ in size and location from the facilities proposed in Chapter 8 of the County Master Water and Sewer Plan, also included as an Appendix to the RFP. What is the reason for the difference? Is there additional sizing and location design needed for the facilities proposed in the Master Plan?

Answer: Please base your proposal on the line sizes included in the Scope of Work illustrations included in the RFP. These line sizes are based on best professional judgment and available information on existing infrastructure supporting those lines.

18. Mr. Howard also mentioned during the pre-proposal conference that funding is in place for the engineering design work proposed in this RFP. What is the source of the funding?

Answer: County General Fund.

END OF ADDENDA NO. 2